234

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

\*E-Filed 7/1/14\*

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WILLIE WEAVER,

No. C 14-1030 RS (PR)

Plaintiff,

ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT WITH LEAVE TO AMEND

v.

CONTROL TOWER HARASSMENTS, et al.,

Defendants.

INTRODUCTION

This is a federal civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by a *pro se* state prisoner against his jailors at Pelican Bay State Prison. After reviewing the complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a), the Court DISMISSES the complaint with leave to file an amended complaint on or before August 15, 2014.

## **DISCUSSION**

### A. Standard of Review

A "complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to 'state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face.'" *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S.Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009) (quoting *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)). "A claim has facial

28

No. C 14-1030 RS (PR) ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged." Id. (quoting Twombly, 550 U.S. at 556). Furthermore, a court "is not required to accept legal conclusions cast in the form of factual allegations if those conclusions cannot reasonably be drawn from the facts alleged." Clegg v. Cult Awareness Network, 18 F.3d 752, 754–55 (9th Cir. 1994). To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. See West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

#### B. **Legal Claims**

Plaintiff alleges in his complaint that he is being harassed by a Pelican Bay correctional officer in the control tower during third watch, and that this harassment is the result of deliberate indifference. The complaint does not contain sufficient factual matter to state claims for relief. First, he fails to name the correctional officer, nor provide any specific details about the harassment itself. Simply saying "harassment" is insufficient. Second, he fails to allege facts stating a claim for deliberate indifference. To state a claim under the Eighth Amendment for deliberate indifference, a prisoner-plaintiff must allege specific facts indicating that a prison official knows that a prisoner faces a substantial risk of serious harm and disregards that risk by failing to take reasonable steps to abate it. See Farmer v. Brennan, 511 U. S. 825, 837 (1994).

Accordingly, the complaint is DISMISSED with leave to amend. Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint on or before August 15, 2014. The first amended complaint must include the caption and civil case number used in this order (14-1030 RS (PR)) and the words FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT on the first page. Because an amended complaint completely replaces the previous complaints, plaintiff must include in his first amended complaint all the claims he wishes to present and all of the defendants he wishes to sue. See Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1262 (9th Cir. 1992). Plaintiff may not incorporate

> No. C 14-1030 RS (PR) ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT

## Case 3:14-cv-01030-RS Document 4 Filed 07/01/14 Page 3 of 3

United States District Court For the Northern District of California material from the prior complaint by reference. Failure to file an amended complaint in accordance with this order will result in dismissal of this action without further notice to plaintiff.

It is plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the Court informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper with the clerk headed "Notice of Change of Address." He must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion or ask for an extension of time to do so. Failure to comply may result in the dismissal of this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

# IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 1, 2014

RICHARD SEEBORG United States District Judge